

On the distribution of transmission eigenvalues in disordered wires

M. Caselle

*Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica dell'Università di Torino and I.N.F.N., Sezione di Torino
via P.Giuria 1, I-10125 Turin, Italy*

We solve the Dorokhov-Mello-Pereyra-Kumar equation which describes the evolution of an ensemble of disordered wires of increasing length in the three cases $\beta = 1, 2, 4$. The solution is obtained by mapping the problem in that of a suitable Calogero-Sutherland model. In the $\beta = 2$ case our solution is in complete agreement with that recently found by Beenakker and Rejzai.

PACS numbers: 72.10.Bg, 05.60.+w, 72.15.Rn, 73.50.Bk

During last years an increasing interest has been attracted by the physics of quantum electronic transport in mesoscopic systems [1]. One of the main reasons for this interest lies in the high degree of universality of some experimental observations. In particular it is well known that universal conductance fluctuations (UCF) occur in small metallic samples at low temperatures. This universality suggests that UCF could be described by some relatively simple Hamiltonian, independent of the particular model or disorder realization and led to the construction of a Random Matrix Theory (RMT) of quantum transport [2,3], in analogy to the Wigner-Dyson RMT for nuclear energy levels. The central object in this approach is the $N \times N$ transmission matrix t of the conductor (where N is the number of scattering channels at the Fermi level). All the interesting physical properties can be evaluated once the transmission eigenvalues $T_1, T_2 \dots T_N$ of the product $tt^\dagger$ are known. For instance the conductance G is given by $G = G_0 \text{Tr}(tt^\dagger) = G_0 \sum_n T_n$ (with $G_0 = 2e^2/h$). A suitable parametrization of the eigenvalues T_i is given in terms of the Transfer Matrix M , whose eigenvalues λ_i are related to the T_i by $\lambda_i \equiv (1 - T_i)/T_i$. The goal is then to find the probability distribution $P(\{\lambda_i\})$ for the eigenvalues λ_i . This is in general a difficult problem, but it simplifies in the quasi-one-dimensional regime (namely when the length L of the conductor is much larger than its width W). In this case it can be shown that eigenvalue distribution $P(\{\lambda_i\})$ as a function of the length L (measured in units of the mean free path l : $s = L/l$) must obey the Dorokhov-Mello-Pereyra-Kumar (DMPK) equation

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial s} = \frac{2}{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} \lambda_i (1 + \lambda_i) J \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} J^{-1} P \equiv D P, \quad (1)$$

where $\gamma = \beta N + 2 - \beta$ and $\beta \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ is the symmetry index of the ensemble of scattering matrices. $\beta = 1$ if there is time-reversal symmetry; $\beta = 2$ if the time-reversal symmetry is broken and $\beta = 4$ if time-reversal is conserved, but spin-orbit interactions are important and the spin-rotation symmetry is broken. $J(\{\lambda_n\})$ denotes the Jacobian from the matrix to the eigenvalue space:

$$J(\{\lambda_n\}) = \prod_{i < j} |\lambda_j - \lambda_i|^\beta. \quad (2)$$

Eq. (1) was derived by Dorokhov [4] from microscopic considerations (for $\beta = 2$) and by Mello, Pereyra, and Kumar [5] (for $\beta = 1$) by looking at the infinitesimal transfer matrix describing the addition of a thin slice to the wire. Their results were then generalized to $\beta = 2, 4$ in Refs. [6,7].

At a first glance, solving eq.(1) seems to be an impossible task (except, obviously, the case in which $N = 1$) due to the interaction among eigenvalues. However, recently, in two remarkable papers [8], Beenakker and Rejzai succeeded in solving it, in the particular case $\beta = 2$, by using Sutherland's transformation and mapping eq.(1) into the Schrödinger equation (in imaginary time) of a set on N non-interacting fermions.

Let us briefly review their result, which will be useful in our following discussion. The first step suggested in [8] is to choose a new set of variables $\{x_n\}$, related to the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n\}$ by: $\lambda_n = \sinh^2 x_n$, which implies $T_n = 1/\cosh^2 x_n$. Then, by making the following substitution

$$P(\{x_n\}, s) = \xi(x) \Psi(\{x_n\}, s). \quad (3)$$

with:

$$\xi(x) = \prod_{i < j} |\sinh^2 x_j - \sinh^2 x_i|^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \prod_i |\sinh 2x_i|^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4)$$

eq.(1) can be mapped into a Schrödinger equation (in imaginary time) for the field Ψ ,

$$-\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial s} = (\mathcal{H} - U) \Psi, \quad (5a)$$

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{2\gamma} \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \frac{1}{\sinh^2 2x_i} \right) + \frac{\beta(\beta-2)}{2\gamma} \sum_{i < j} \frac{\sinh^2 2x_j + \sinh^2 2x_i}{(\cosh 2x_j - \cosh 2x_i)^2}, \quad (5b)$$

$$U = -\frac{N}{2\gamma} - N(N-1)\frac{\beta}{\gamma} - N(N-1)(N-2)\frac{\beta^2}{6\gamma}. \quad (5c)$$

By choosing $\beta = 2$, the remaining interaction terms among the x_n disappear, the equation can be decoupled

and, by imposing ballistic initial conditions, one ends up with the solution:

$$P(\{x_n\}, s) = C(s) \prod_{i < j} (\sinh^2 x_j - \sinh^2 x_i) \prod_i (\sinh 2x_i) \times \text{Det} \left[\int_0^\infty dk e^{-\frac{k^2 s}{4N}} \tanh\left(\frac{\pi k}{2}\right) k^{2m-1} P_{\frac{1}{2}(ik-1)}(\cosh 2x_n) \right] \quad (6)$$

where $P_\nu(z)$ are the Legendre functions of the first kind. $C(s)$ is a function which depends only on s . It can be fixed by imposing the requirement that $P(\{x_n\}, s)$ is normalized to unity, and does not play any role. For this reason we shall neglect in the following similar multiplicative functions when writing $P(\{x_n\}, s)$.

The aim of this letter is to show that the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ defined above exactly coincides with that of a particular Calogero-Sutherland (CS) model [9] (for a comprehensive review see ref. [10]) with $\sinh$ -type interactions and a potential of type C_N (see below). This model describes N particles on a line, identified by their coordinates $\{x_i\}$, $i = 1 \dots N$, interacting with the potential $1/\sinh^2(x_i - x_j)$. Its most relevant feature is that (under particular conditions discussed below, see eq.(13)) it has N commuting integrals of motions, it is completely integrable and its Hamiltonian can be mapped into the radial part of a Laplace-Beltrami operator on a suitable symmetric space. We shall see below that in our case this Laplace-Beltrami operator is related in a simple way to the DMPK operator D of eq.(1). This allows to write the eigenvalue distribution $P(\{x_n\}, s)$ as a superposition “zonal spherical functions” of the symmetric space. By using the asymptotic expansion of these functions one can eventually obtain the explicit expression for the eigenvalue distribution both in the metallic and in the insulating regimes.

In the original formulation of the CS model, the interaction among the particles was simply pairwise [9]. But it was later realized that the complete integrability of the model had a deep group theoretical explanation, that the simple pairwise interaction was the signature of an underlying structure: namely the root lattice of the Lie algebras A_N and that all the relevant properties (complete integrability, mapping to a Laplace-Beltrami operator of a suitable symmetric space) still hold for potentials constructed by means of any root lattice canonically associated to a simple Lie algebra [10].

Let us call $\mathcal{V}$ the N dimensional space defined by the coordinates $\{x_i\}$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ a vector in $\mathcal{V}$. Let $R = \{\alpha\}$ be a root system in $\mathcal{V}$, and R_+ the subsystem of positive roots of R . Let us denote with x_α the scalar product (α, x) . Then the general form of the CS Hamiltonian is

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 x_i} + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \frac{g_\alpha^2}{\sinh^2(x_\alpha)} \quad (7)$$

where the couplings g_α^2 are the same for equivalent roots, namely for those roots which are connected with each other by transformations of the Coxeter group W of the root system.

The CS models which are relevant for our problem are those constructed by means of the C_N lattice. In this case the root system is $R = \{\pm 2e_i, \pm e_i \pm e_j, i \neq j\}$, (where $\{e_i, \dots, e_N\}$ denote a canonical basis in the space $\mathbf{R}^n$) and the Coxeter group W coincides with the product of the permutation group and the group of transformations which change the sign of the vectors $\{e_i\}$. The corresponding Hamiltonian is:

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 x_i} + \sum_i \frac{g_2^2}{\sinh^2(2x_i)} + \sum_{i < j} \left(\frac{g_1^2}{\sinh^2(x_i - x_j)} + \frac{g_1^2}{\sinh^2(x_i + x_j)} \right) \quad (8)$$

By using simple identities among hyperbolic functions eq.(8) can be rewritten as follows:

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 x_i} + \sum_i \frac{g_2^2}{\sinh^2(2x_i)} + c + 2g_1^2 \sum_{i < j} \frac{\sinh^2(2x_i) + \sinh^2(2x_j)}{(\cosh(2x_i) - \cosh(2x_j))^2} \quad (9)$$

with c an irrelevant constant. By setting $g_2^2 = -1/2$ and $g_1^2 = \beta(\beta - 2)/4$ we see that eq.(8) coincides (apart from the overall factor $1/\gamma$) with $\mathcal{H}$ in eq.(5b).

The CS hamiltonian (8) can be mapped into the radial part of a Laplace-Beltrami operator B (see for instance Appendix D of ref. [10]) of a suitable symmetric space

$$H = \xi(x) \left[\frac{1}{2} (B + \rho^2) \right] \xi(x)^{-1} \quad (10)$$

with

$$B = [\xi(x)]^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} [\xi(x)]^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \quad , \quad (11)$$

and ρ a constant term which we shall neglect in the following. At this point it is easy to relate the DMPK operator of eq. (1) to the B operator:

$$D = \frac{1}{2\gamma} [\xi(x)]^2 B [\xi(x)]^{-2}, \quad (12)$$

and reobtain in this way the DMPK equation. This mapping was discussed in great detail in ref. [8]. Notice that, as it was stressed in [8], it is not obvious that Sutherland's mapping should work also for the non-translationally invariant interaction of eq.(9). It is exactly the C_N structure underlying eq.(9) which allows such a map [10].

All the irreducible symmetric spaces of classical type can be classified with essentially the same techniques

used for the Lie algebras. They fall into 11 classes labelled by the type of root system and by the multiplicities of the various roots [11].

A key role in the identification of the DMPK equation as the radial part of a Laplace-Beltrami operator on a symmetric space is played by the constants g_α^2 . In fact it can be shown [10] that such an identification is possible only if

$$g_\alpha^2 = \frac{m_\alpha(m_\alpha - 2)}{8} |\alpha|^2 \quad (13)$$

where $|\alpha|$ is the length of the root α and m_α its multiplicity. In our case we have $m_\alpha = \beta$ for the short roots (those of the type $\{\pm e_i \pm e_j\}$) and $m_\alpha = 1$ for the long roots (those of the type $\{2e_i\}$). Remarkably enough these values coincide with the multiplicities of irreducible symmetric spaces exactly for those values of $\beta \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ which are physically relevant. The identification is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta = 1 & : Sp(N, \mathbf{R})/U(N) \\ \beta = 2 & : SU(N, N)/S(U(N) \otimes U(N)) \\ \beta = 4 & : SO^*(4N)/U(2N) \end{aligned}$$

It is interesting to notice that this same identification was obtained by Hüffmann [12] by directly looking to the symmetry properties of the DMPK equations for various values of β .

According to eq.(12) if $\Phi_k(x)$, $x = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$, $k = \{k_1, \dots, k_N\}$ is an eigenfunction of B with eigenvalue k^2 , then $\xi(x)^2 \Phi_k(x)$ will be an eigenfunction of the DMPK operator with eigenvalue $k^2/(2\gamma)$. These eigenfunctions of the B operator are known in the literature as “zonal spherical functions”. In the following we shall use three important properties of these functions (see [13]).

1] By means of the zonal spherical functions one can define the analog of the Fourier transform on symmetric spaces:

$$f(x) = \int \bar{f}(k) \Phi_k(x) \frac{dk}{|c(k)|^2} \quad (14)$$

(where we have neglected an irrelevant multiplicative constant) and in the three cases which are of interest for us:

$$|c(k)|^2 = |\Delta(k)|^2 \prod_j \left| \frac{\Gamma\left(i \frac{k_j}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + i \frac{k_j}{2}\right)} \right|^2 \quad (15)$$

with

$$|\Delta(k)|^2 = \prod_{m < j} \left| \frac{\Gamma\left(i \frac{k_m - k_j}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(i \frac{k_m + k_j}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\beta}{2} + i \frac{k_m - k_j}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\beta}{2} + i \frac{k_m + k_j}{2}\right)} \right|^2 \quad (16)$$

where Γ denotes the Euler gamma function.

2] for large values of x , $\Phi_k(x)$ has the following asymptotic behaviour:

$$\Phi_k(x) \sim \frac{1}{\xi(x)} \left(\sum_{r \in W} c(rk) e^{i(rk, x)} \right), \quad (17)$$

where rk is the vector obtained acting with $r \in W$ on k . The important feature of eq.(17) is that it is valid for *all values of k* .

3] in the case $\beta = 2$ the explicit form of $\Phi_k(x)$ is known [10,14]:

$$\Phi_k(x) = \frac{\det [Q_m^j]}{\prod_{i < j} [(k_i^2 - k_j^2)(\sinh^2 x_i - \sinh^2 x_j)]} \quad (18)$$

where the matrix elements of Q are:

$$Q_m^j = F\left(\frac{1}{2}(1 + ik_m), \frac{1}{2}(1 - ik_m), 1; -\sinh^2 x_j\right) \quad (19)$$

and $F(a, b, c; z)$ is the hypergeometric function.

Eq.s(12,14-16) allow to write the s -evolution of $P(\{x_n\}, s)$ from given initial conditions (described by the function $\bar{f}_0(k)$) as follows:

$$P(\{x_n\}, s) = [\xi(x)]^2 \int \bar{f}_0(k) e^{-\frac{k^2}{2\gamma}s} \Phi_k(x) \frac{dk}{|c(k)|^2}. \quad (20)$$

By inserting the explicit expression of $|c(k)|^2$ and by using the identity:

$$\left| \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + i \frac{k}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(i \frac{k}{2}\right)} \right|^2 = \frac{k}{2} \tanh \frac{\pi k}{2} \quad (21)$$

we end up with the following general expression for $P(\{x_n\}, s)$ with ballistic initial conditions (which, due to the normalization of $\Phi_k(x)$, simply amount to choosing $\bar{f}_0(k) = \text{const}$):

$$P(\{x_n\}, s) = [\xi(x)]^2 \int dk e^{-\frac{k^2}{2\gamma}s} \frac{\Phi_k(x)}{|\Delta(k)|^2} \prod_j k_j \tanh\left(\frac{\pi k_j}{2}\right) \quad (22)$$

This is the main result of this letter. Let us try now a few applications.

First, as a consistency check of the whole approach, if we insert, in the $\beta = 2$ case, the explicit expression for $\Phi_k(x)$, given in eq.s(18,19), into eq.(22) and use the identity

$$P_\nu(z) = F(-\nu, \nu + 1, 1; (1 - z)/2) \quad (23)$$

we exactly obtain (as expected) the solution, eq.(6) found by Beenakker and Rejaei.

Second, if x is large (and in our framework this means $x^2 > (2s)/\gamma$) we may insert the asymptotic expansion

(17) into eq.(22). The resulting behaviour of $P(\{x_n\}, s)$ will depend on the chosen (metallic or insulating) regime for k . In both cases, by setting $\beta = 2$ in eq.s (25) and (28) below, we exactly recover the results described in [8], in these regimes. Let us look at the two cases separately.

Insulating regime ($k \ll 1$).

In the $k \rightarrow 0$ limit the Γ functions in eq.(17) can be approximated according to:

$$\frac{\Gamma(\frac{\beta}{2} + iy)}{\Gamma(iy)} \sim_{y \rightarrow 0} iy, \quad \beta \in \{1, 2, 4\}. \quad (24)$$

Then, by rewriting both the product $\prod_{i < j} (k_i^2 - k_j^2)$, and the sum over the exponentials in (17) as determinants, the integration over k becomes straightforward and gives:

$$P(\{x_n\}, s) = \prod_{i < j} |\sinh^2 x_j - \sinh^2 x_i|^{\frac{\beta}{2}} [(x_j^2 - x_i^2)] \times \prod_i \left[\exp(-x_i^2 \gamma / (2s)) x_i (\sinh 2x_i)^{1/2} \right]. \quad (25)$$

Ordering the x_n 's from small to large and using the fact that in this regime $1 \ll x_1 \ll x_2 \ll \dots \ll x_N$ we can approximate the eigenvalue distribution as follows:

$$P(\{x_n\}, s) = \prod_{i=1}^N \exp[-(\gamma/(2s))(x_i - \bar{x}_i)^2]. \quad (26)$$

where $\bar{x}_n = \frac{s}{\gamma}(1 + \beta(n-1))$, in agreement with the result obtained by Pichard, [15] by directly solving the DMPK equation in this regime.

Metallic regime ($k \gg 1$).

In this case one must use the asymptotic expansion:

$$\frac{\Gamma(\frac{\beta}{2} + iy)}{\Gamma(iy)} \sim_{y \rightarrow \infty} |y|^{\frac{\beta}{2}} e^{\frac{i\pi\beta}{4}}, \quad \beta \in \{1, 2, 4\}. \quad (27)$$

The integration over k is less simple in this case and, (to be consistent with the regime of validity of eq.(17)) in the resulting expression only the highest powers of $(x\sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{2s}})$ must be taken into account. We find:

$$P(\{x_n\}, s) = \prod_{i < j} |\sinh^2 x_j - \sinh^2 x_i|^{\frac{\beta}{2}} |x_j^2 - x_i^2|^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \times \prod_i \left[\exp(-x_i^2 \gamma / (2s)) (x_i \sinh 2x_i)^{1/2} \right]. \quad (28)$$

In agreement with the exact result of [8] for $\beta = 2$ and with the β dependence found by Chalker and Macêdo [16] through a direct integration of the DMPK equation.

According to ref. [10] and ref. [13], the regime of validity of eq.s(25) and (28) is $x^2 > (2s)/\gamma$, which gives in the large N limit $x^2 > (2s)/(\beta N)$. Notice however, as a concluding remark, that eq.(17) is only the first term of a series which converges absolutely to $\Phi_k(x)$ for all

values of k (see sect. 8 of ref. [10] and ref. [13]). The coefficients of this series can be constructed recursively, thus allowing to study the behaviour of eq.(22) even for values of x smaller than the above mentioned threshold.

-
- [1] For reviews see *Mesoscopic Phenomena in Solids* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1991) ed. by B. L. Altshuler, P. A. Lee, and R. A. Webb.
 - [2] Y. Imry, Europhys. Lett. **1**, 249 (1986).
 - [3] K. A. Muttalib, J.-L. Pichard, and A. D. Stone, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 2475 (1987).
 - [4] O. N. Dorokhov, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **36**, 259 (1982) [JETP Lett. **36**, 318 (1982)].
 - [5] P. A. Mello, P. Pereyra, and N. Kumar, Ann. Phys. **181**, 290 (1988).
 - [6] P. A. Mello and A. D. Stone, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 3559 (1991).
 - [7] J. T. Chalker and A. M. S. Macêdo, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 14985 (1992).
 - [8] C. W. J. Beenakker and B. Rejaei, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 3689 (1993); Phys. Rev. B **49**, 7499 (1994).
 - [9] F. Calogero, J. Math. Phys. **10**, 2191 (1969); B. Sutherland, J. Math. Phys. **12**, 246 (1971); **12**, 251 (1971).
 - [10] M. A. Olshanetsky and A. M. Perelomov, Phys. Rep. **94**, 313 (1984).
 - [11] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups and Symmetric Spaces* (Academic, 1978).
 - [12] A. Hüffmann, J. Phys. A **23**, 5733 (1990).
 - [13] Harish-Chandra, Amer. J. Math **80** 241 (1958); **80** 553 (1958).
 - [14] F. A. Berezin and F. I. Karpelevick, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **118**, 9 (1958).
 - [15] J.-L. Pichard, in *Quantum Coherence in Mesoscopic Systems*, edited by B. Kramer, NATO ASI Series B254 (Plenum, New York, 1991).
 - [16] J. T. Chalker and A. M. S. Macêdo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 3693 (1993).